

A cofinite universal space for proper actions for mapping class groups*

Lizhen Ji

Department of Mathematics

University of Michigan

Ann Arbor, MI 48109

&

Scott A. Wolpert

Department of Mathematics

University of Maryland

College Park, MD 20742

January 5, 2009

Abstract

We prove that the mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ for surfaces of negative Euler characteristic has a cofinite universal space $\underline{E}\Gamma_{g,n}$ for proper actions (the resulting quotient is a finite CW -complex). The approach is to construct a truncated Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ by introducing a lower bound for the length of shortest closed geodesics and showing that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is a $\Gamma_{g,n}$ equivariant deformation retract of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$. The existence of such a cofinite universal space is important in the study of the cohomology of the group $\Gamma_{g,n}$. As an application, we note that there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\Gamma_{g,n}$. Another application is that the rational Novikov conjecture in K-theory holds for $\Gamma_{g,n}$.

1 Introduction

The mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ for surfaces of genus g with n punctures is a natural group and its structure has been intensively studied by many authors employing a range of methods. A natural question concerns its classifying space. Since $\Gamma_{g,n}$ contains torsion-elements, the group cannot admit a finite dimensional classifying space $B\text{Mod}_{g,n}$. Ivanov [Iv1] [Iv2] did prove that for any torsion-free subgroup Γ of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ of finite index there exists a $B\Gamma$ -space given by a finite CW -complex. Given the foundational Deligne-Mumford quasiprojectivity of $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, a proof for torsion-free subgroups also follows from the original result of Lojasiewicz [Lo].

Recall that for a discrete group Γ , the universal covering space $E\Gamma = \widetilde{B\Gamma}$ of $B\Gamma$ is a universal space for proper and fixed-point free actions of Γ . For groups Γ containing torsion elements, a closely related space is the universal space $\underline{E}\Gamma$ for proper actions of Γ , which is unique up to homotopy. Briefly, for any discrete group Γ , a CW -complex E is a *universal space for proper actions* of Γ if the following conditions are satisfied:

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification Primary: 57M07; Secondary: 30F60, 20F34.

1. Γ acts properly on E and hence the stabilizer in Γ of every point is finite.
2. For any finite subgroup $H \subset \Gamma$, the set E^H of fixed points of H is nonempty and contractible. In particular, E is contractible.

If the quotient $\Gamma \backslash E$ is a finite CW -complex, the quotient is called a *cofinite universal space for proper actions*. The existence of such a cofinite Γ -space is important in studying the cohomology of Γ and the Novikov, Baum-Connes and related conjectures for Γ (see [BCH] and [Lu] for systematic discussions). Applying the results of [Brow1] and [BHM], it can be seen easily that the existence of a cofinite universal space $\underline{E}\Gamma$ implies that the rational Novikov conjecture in K -theory holds for Γ (see Proposition 1.8 below).

It is known and can be shown easily that the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is a finite dimensional, non-cofinite $\underline{E}\Gamma_{g,n}$ -space (see [Lu] and Proposition 2.3 below). As mentioned above, it is important for various purposes to find a cofinite universal space (a space with quotient a finite CW -complex) and the question on the existence of a cofinite $\underline{E}\Gamma_{g,n}$ has been raised by several authors (see [Lu]). The main result of this note is a positive answer (see Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 below). When $n \geq 1$, the result also follows from an explicit triangulation of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and an associated retraction onto a cofinite subspace, see Harer [Har]. After the results of this paper were obtained, we found that the case of $n = 0$ was also established already in [Brou1] and [Mi]. On the other hand, the method here is different and works in both cases.

Specifically, let $S_{g,n}$ be a surface of genus g with n punctures. Assume that $2g + n > 2$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ be the associated Teichmüller space of homotopy marked hyperbolic metrics on $S_{g,n}$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{6g-6+2n}$ and hence contractible, and $\Gamma_{g,n}$ acts properly on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$. Using the solution of the Nielsen realization problem and the Weil-Petersson metric for $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, it can be shown that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is the universal space for proper actions of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ (see [Lu, §4.10] for discussion of this result and Proposition 2.3 below for a detailed proof).

It is also well-known that the quotient $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is noncompact, hence $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is not a cofinite CW -space. In fact, the quotient is the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of type (g, n) . Since Riemann surfaces can degenerate, their moduli space is noncompact. There are two basic ways to address the issue of noncompactness.

One way is to compactify $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, or rather to partially compactify $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ to a manifold with corners $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}^{BS}$ such that $\Gamma_{g,n}$ acts properly with a compact quotient $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}^{BS}$. This approach is analogous to considering the Borel-Serre compactification of locally symmetric spaces following [BS]. Such a partial compactification of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ as a real analytic manifold with corners was announced by Harvey [Hv1]. A proof and construction of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}^{BS}$ as a smooth manifold with corners was later given by Ivanov [Iv3]. The next step is to show that the set $(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}^{BS})^H$ of fixed points of a finite subgroup $H \subset \Gamma_{g,n}$ is contractible. For arithmetic subgroups Γ of semisimple Lie groups, a construction of $\underline{E}\Gamma$ in terms of the partial Borel-Serre compactification of the associated symmetric spaces was carried out in [Ji], where the geodesic action of parabolic subgroups and its connection to the boundary components was used crucially. Since it might be involved to carry out the second step for $\Gamma_{g,n}$, we introduce a different model for the classifying space.

Let $S \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ be a marked surface with hyperbolic metric. For every closed curve $\sigma \subset S$, let $\ell_S(\sigma)$ be the length of the unique geodesic in the free homotopy class of σ with respect to the hyperbolic metric of S . For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon) = \{S \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \mid \text{for every closed curve } \sigma \subset S, \ell_S(\sigma) \geq \varepsilon\}. \quad (1.1)$$

This is called the *truncated Teichmüller space*. The following is well-known.

Proposition 1.1 *The truncated Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is a real analytic manifold with corners and as a subset of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is invariant under the action of $\Gamma_{g,n}$. The quotient $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is a compact real analytic orbifold with corners. In particular, $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ has the structure of a cofinite $\Gamma_{g,n}$ -CW-complex.*

Note that the last statement on the structure of a cofinite $\Gamma_{g,n}$ -CW-complex follows from the existence of an equivariant triangulation in [Il]. For any torsion-free subgroup $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{g,n}$, the quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is a real analytic manifold with corners. The proof of Proposition 1.1 will be given in §2 below.

The main result of the paper is the following.

Theorem 1.2 *With the above notation, when ε is sufficiently small, there is an $\Gamma_{g,n}$ -equivariant deformation retraction from $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ to $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$.*

The corresponding moduli space retraction from $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ to $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is suggestive of the realizations of the Borel-Serre compactifications for locally symmetric spaces [Gr] [Le1-2] [Sa]. This result was stated in [Har, §3, iv)] but the proof is incomplete (see §3 below for discussion). One present purpose is to complete the considerations of [Har]. It is also noteworthy to point out that for $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{g,n}$ a torsion-free finite index subgroup, it was proved in [Iv1] [Iv2] that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is a Γ -equivariant deformation retract. The assumption that Γ is torsion-free was used in an essential way.

An immediate corollary of the theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.3 *The truncated space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is contractible. For a finite subgroup $H \subset \Gamma_{g,n}$, the set of fixed points $(\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon))^H$ is also contractible, and hence $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is a cofinite universal space for proper actions of $\Gamma_{g,n}$.*

Remark 1.4 After this result was proved, we learned of and received a copy of the preprint [Mi] from Lück. The preprint discusses the Broughton considerations of [Brou1] for the existence of a cofinite classifying space of Γ_g by introducing a suitable subspace of \mathcal{T}_g . It might be helpful to point out that the present proof is closely related to the corresponding result for arithmetic groups and works for all choices of (g, n) , while the method in [Brou1] only works for the case $(g, 0)$, since the Satake compactification for the moduli space $\Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{T}_g$ in [Ba] is only defined for the case $(g, 0)$ and is used in an essential way.

An interesting corollary of the above theorem is the following.

Proposition 1.5 *There are only finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\Gamma_{g,n}$.*

Proof. It is a general and known fact that if a group Γ admits a cofinite universal space $\underline{E}\Gamma$ for proper actions, there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups. It can be proved as follows. Let K be a compact subset of $\underline{E}\Gamma$ which is mapped surjectively onto the quotient $\Gamma \backslash \underline{E}\Gamma$. Then any finite subgroup of Γ is conjugate to a finite subgroup which has a fixed point in K . Since there are only finitely many elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma K \cap K \neq \emptyset$, it follows that there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups.

Since this result is of independent interest, we provide a second proof in Proposition 2.7 below. We note that the finiteness result is similar to the fact that any arithmetic subgroup of a Lie group has only finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups (see [Se]).

Remark 1.6 After the result in Proposition 1.5 was proved, we learned that it was proved already by Bridson in [Bri, Theorem 6] using the above result of Harer [Har, §3]. Since the proof of [Har, §3] is incomplete, we now complete the proof of [Bri]. An independent proof of Proposition 1.5 is given in the book manuscript of Farb and Margalit [FaM].

Remark 1.7 It is interesting to note that [Brou2] first proved Proposition 1.5 and then used it to construct a cofinite universal space for $\Gamma_{g,n}$. Proposition 1.5 was proved in [Brou2] by showing there are only finitely many equivalence classes of finite orientation-preserving topological actions on surfaces of a fixed genus.

Another corollary of Theorem 1.3 is the following.

Proposition 1.8 *The group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ is of type FP_∞ and hence in every degree $H_*(\Gamma_{g,n}, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated, which implies that the rational Novikov conjecture in K-theory holds for $\Gamma_{g,n}$.*

Proof. Since $\Gamma_{g,n}$ admits a cofinite universal space for proper actions and finite groups are of type FP_∞ , the first statement on finite generation of $H_*(\Gamma_{g,n}, \mathbb{Z})$ follows from [Brow1, Proposition 1.1], and the second statement follows from the main result of [BHM] which states that the rational Novikov conjecture in K-theory holds for any groups Γ such that $H_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated in every degree.

Remark 1.9 We note that the original Novikov conjecture, i.e., the rational Novikov conjecture in L-theory for $\Gamma_{g,n}$ was proved by Kida [Ki] and Hamenstädt [Ham].

Remark 1.10 After Proposition 1.8 was proved as above, we realized that it can also be proved as follows. It is known that $\Gamma_{g,n}$ admits a torsion-free subgroup Γ' of finite index (see [Iv1] for a demonstration of this not-totally obvious result. See also [Har]). By [Iv1], $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ admits a Γ' -equivariant deformation retraction to a Γ' -compact subspace (a manifold with corners). It follows that Γ' admits a finite classifying space $B\Gamma'$, which in turn implies that Γ' is of type FP_∞ . Then by [Brow2, Proposition 5.1, p. 197], $\Gamma_{g,n}$ is also of type FP_∞ , and the main result of [BHM] implies the second statement as above. After Proposition 1.8 was proved, we learned of Storm's [St] note for combining results of Hamenstädt and Kato on combable groups to also provide a proof.

2 Mapping class groups and Teichmüller spaces

First we recall definitions. Let $S_{g,n}$ be an orientable surface of genus g with n punctures. Let $\text{Diff}(S_{g,n})$ be the group of all diffeomorphisms of $S_{g,n}$ and $\text{Diff}^+(S_{g,n})$ the subgroup of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms. The identity component $\text{Diff}^0(S_{g,n})$ is a normal subgroup of both $\text{Diff}(S_{g,n})$ and $\text{Diff}^+(S_{g,n})$. The quotient $\pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(S_{g,n})) = \text{Diff}^+(S_{g,n})/\text{Diff}^0(S_{g,n})$ is called the *mapping class group* of type (g, n) , denoted by $\Gamma_{g,n}$, and $\pi_0(\text{Diff}(S_{g,n})) = \text{Diff}(S_{g,n})/\text{Diff}^0(S_{g,n})$ is

called the *extended mapping class group*, denoted by $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$. Clearly, $\Gamma_{g,n}$ is an index two subgroup of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$.

The mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ is closely related to arithmetic groups. In fact, for $S_{g,n}$ the torus $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e., $g = 1$ and $n = 0$, Γ_1 is canonically isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

An important step for understanding $\Gamma_{g,n}$ is to consider its action on the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ associated with $S_{g,n}$. Assume that the Euler number of $S_{g,n}$ is negative, i.e., $2g - 2 + n > 0$. The surface $S_{g,n}$ admits complete hyperbolic metrics of finite volume. Recall that a marked hyperbolic metric on $S_{g,n}$ is a surface S with a complete hyperbolic metric ds together with a diffeomorphism $\varphi : S_{g,n} \rightarrow S$. Two marked hyperbolic metrics (S_1, ds_1, φ_1) and (S_2, ds_2, φ_2) are *equivalent* if there exists an isometry $\phi : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ such that $\phi \circ \varphi_1 : S_{g,n} \rightarrow S_2$ is isotopic to $\varphi_2 : S_{g,n} \rightarrow S_2$. The set of equivalence classes of marked hyperbolic metrics on $S_{g,n}$ forms the *Teichmüller space* $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$.

Proposition 2.1 *The groups $\Gamma_{g,n}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ act properly discontinuously on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$. The quotient $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is the moduli space of complex structures on $S_{g,n}$ of type (g, n) and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is the moduli space of complete hyperbolic metrics on $S_{g,n}$ of type (g, n) .*

It is well-known that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{6g-6+2n}$. One way to display this is to introduce Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. Let $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d\}$, $d = 3g - 3 + n$, be a maximal collection of disjoint simple closed curves on $S_{g,n}$, no curve freely homotopic to a point or a puncture, and no pair of curves freely homotopic. The complement in $S_{g,n}$ of Σ consists of pairs of pants, subsurfaces homeomorphic to the complement of three points in a sphere. The collection Σ is called a *pants decomposition*. Then for each point $(S, ds, \varphi) \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, each curve $\varphi(\sigma_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, contains a unique simple closed geodesic in its free homotopy class with respect to the metric ds . Denote the length of the geodesic by $\ell_S(\sigma_i)$. Once a base point $S_1 \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is chosen, there are also associated twist parameters $\theta_S(\sigma_i)$. Together, the data provides the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates:

$$\pi_\Sigma : \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{6g-6+2n}; \quad (S, ds, \varphi) \mapsto (\ell_S(\sigma_1), \theta_S(\sigma_1); \dots; \ell_S(\sigma_d), \theta_S(\sigma_d)). \quad (2.1)$$

It is known that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is a complex manifold, and the map π_Σ is a real analytic diffeomorphism.

As a complex manifold, $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ admits a Kähler metric, the Weil-Petersson metric. At a point $S \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, the dual of the holomorphic tangent space is canonically identified with the vector space $Q(S)$ of holomorphic quadratic differentials. The Hermitian product on $Q(S)$,

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \int_S \varphi_1 \bar{\varphi}_2 ds^{-2}, \quad (2.2)$$

where ds^2 is the area form of S , defines the Weil-Petersson metric on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, denoted by ds_{WP} .

The following properties of the metric ds_{WP} are known (see [Wo1-3]).

Proposition 2.2 1. $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ acts isometrically with respect to ds_{WP} .

2. The sectional curvatures of ds_{WP} are negative.

3. $(\mathcal{T}_{g,n}, ds_{WP})$ is convex in the sense that each pair of points is connected by a unique geodesic.

4. For a finite subgroup H of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ or $\Gamma_{g,n}$, a finite H -invariant compact subset of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ has compact convex hull, and hence every finite subgroup of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ has a fixed point in $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$.

Using these properties, we prove the following refinement of the Nielsen realization theorem.

Proposition 2.3 *The space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is a universal space for proper actions of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ and $\Gamma_{g,n}$.*

Proof. We consider only $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is a real analytic manifold and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ acts real analytically and properly on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, the existence of an equivariant triangulation in [II] implies that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is a proper $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ -CW-complex. We need to show that for a finite subgroup $H \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$, the fix-point set $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ is nonempty and contractible.

By the solution of the Nielsen realization problem in [Ke] (see also [Wo2]), $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ is nonempty. By Proposition 2.2, $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ with the Weil-Petersson metric is negatively curved and convex. Since $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ acts isometrically on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, the set $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ is a totally geodesic submanifold, which is in turn also convex and hence contractible.

Remark 2.4 For the orientation preserving case, there is a different approach as indicated in the discussion of [Lu, §4.10]. Kerckhoff [Ke] considers the important result of Thurston that each pair of points x, y of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is connected by a unique left earthquake, denoted by $\widetilde{x, y}$. Note that the notion of the *left* earthquake depends on the orientation of the underlying surface, and the ordering of x and y is also important. In fact, the earthquake from x to y , $\widetilde{x, y}$, differs from the earthquake from y to x , $\widetilde{y, x}$. Earthquake paths are natural; for an (orientation preserving) element $h \in \Gamma_{g,n}$, h maps $\widetilde{x, y}$ to $h \cdot \widetilde{x, y} \cdot h^{-1}$. Naturality provides that if H is a finite subgroup of $\Gamma_{g,n}$, then for a pair $x, y \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$, $\widetilde{x, y}$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$, and hence the fixed-point set $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ is contractible. Naturality further provides that the left earthquake exponential map is $\Gamma_{g,n}$ -equivariant.

On the other hand, if elements of $H \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ are orientation reversing, then $\widetilde{x, y}$ may not be contained in $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$. An example begins with hyperbolic surfaces with topologically conjugate mirror symmetry with respect to a separating simple closed geodesics.

Remark 2.5 In the above proof of Proposition 2.3, we used the unique Weil-Petersson metric geodesic connecting x and y , denoted by $\overline{x, y}$. Since $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ acts isometrically on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, it follows for any subgroup $H \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$, $\overline{x, y} \subset \mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ whenever $x, y \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$, and hence the fixed-point set $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ is contractible. The proof can be made for any $\tilde{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ invariant metric with unique geodesics connecting pairs of points.

The quotient space $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is noncompact. In particular, $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is not a cofinite $\underline{E}\Gamma_{g,n}$ -space; the quotient space is not a finite CW-complex. One way to understand the structure near infinity of $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is through the notion of *rough fundamental domains* and *Bers regions*. For a pants decomposition Σ , constants $C > 0$ and $\theta_0 > 0$, define

$$\mathcal{B}_\Sigma = \mathcal{B}_\Sigma(C, \theta_0) = \pi_\Sigma^{-1}(\{(\ell_1, \theta_1; \dots; \ell_d, \theta_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{6g-6+2n} \mid 0 < \ell_i \leq C, |\theta_i| \leq \theta_0, i = 1, \dots, d\}). \quad (2.3)$$

The region is called the *Bers region associated with Σ* .

The following result of Bers is analogous to the reduction theory for arithmetic groups. See [Bu, Theorems 5.1.2 and 6.6.5] for proofs.

Proposition 2.6 *Up to isotopy, there are only finitely many pants decompositions $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_m$ of $S_{g,n}$. For each Σ_i , there is a Bers region \mathcal{B}_{Σ_i} such that the projection map $\pi : \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \rightarrow \Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ restricts to a finite-to-one map on \mathcal{B}_{Σ_i} . The images of the Bers regions $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma_i})$ cover the quotient $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$.*

Proof of Proposition 1.1. We first observe that since $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is defined by a condition for all simple closed curves, the subset is invariant under $\Gamma_{g,n}$. We next observe that the $\Gamma_{g,n}$ quotient is compact. In particular, for a pants decomposition $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d\}$ there is the associated Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate system:

$$\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{6g-6+2n}, \quad (S, ds, \varphi) \mapsto (\ell_S(\sigma_1), \theta_S(\sigma_1); \dots; \ell_S(\sigma_d), \theta_S(\sigma_d)). \quad (2.4)$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, $\theta_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$, introduce the *truncated Bers region*:

$$\mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\varepsilon) = \{S \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \mid C \geq \ell_S(\sigma_i) \geq \varepsilon, |\theta_S(\sigma_i)| \leq \theta_0, i = 1, \dots, d\}. \quad (2.5)$$

The truncated regions are compact submanifolds with corners. By Proposition 2.6, the images of finitely many truncated Bers regions $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\varepsilon)$ cover the quotient $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$. This provides that $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is compact.

To prove that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is a real analytic manifold with corners, we note by the collar lemma from hyperbolic geometry that when ε is sufficiently small, for simple closed curves $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ the level hypersurfaces $\{\ell_S(\sigma_1) = \varepsilon\}, \dots, \{\ell_S(\sigma_m) = \varepsilon\}$ either have empty intersection or intersect transversally. Since $\Gamma_{g,n}$ acts real analytically and properly on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$, the quotient is a real analytic orbifold with corners, the desired conclusion.

Another approach for understanding the structure near infinity is to compactify the quotient $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$. The approach involves including parameters for degenerate surfaces which are obtained by pinching geodesics. Let $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}$ be the augmented Teichmüller space, obtained by adding points describing marked stable Riemann surfaces of the same Euler number (see [Ab] for example). The bordification $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}$ is introduced by formally extending the range of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. For a length $\ell_S(\sigma)$ equal to zero, the twist is not defined and in place of the geodesic for σ there appears a pair of cusps. Following Abikoff, [Ab], and Bers [Be], the extended Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates describe marked possibly noded Riemann surfaces. An equivalence relation is defined for marked noded Riemann surfaces and a construction is provided for adjoining to $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ frontier spaces (where subsets of lengths vanish) to obtain the augmented Teichmüller space. The space $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}$ is not locally compact since in a neighborhood of $\ell_S(\sigma)$ vanishing, the angle $\theta_S(\sigma)$ has values filling \mathbb{R} . (Relatedly the action of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}$ is not proper.) Harvey considered a description of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}$ in terms of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ representations and the Chabauty topology to show that $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}$ is compact [Hv2, Theorem 3.6.1]. As above, Proposition 2.6 also provides that the quotient is compact. The Deligne-Mumford compactification provides that $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is contained in a compact orbifold [DM]. Bers considered families of Kleinian groups to also show that the quotient is contained in a compact orbifold, see [Be, §7].

Now we provide another approach for Proposition 1.5.

Proposition 2.7 $\Gamma_{g,n}$ has finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups.

Proof. As noted, $\Gamma_{g,n}$ acts properly on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and for a finite subgroup H the set $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ of fixed points is nonempty. The association of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ to H has the following properties. The set $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ is a proper subset except for cases of generic involutions for the special topological types $(1, 1)$, $(0, 4)$ and $(2, 0)$. For the generic point of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ the full automorphism group of the Riemann surface is a realization of the group H (modulo the ambiguity of extension by a special generic involution). It follows that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^{H_1}$ is a $\Gamma_{g,n}$ translate of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^{H_2}$ if and only if H_1 and H_2 are conjugate subgroups

(modulo the ambiguity of extension by the special generic involutions). As noted, the quotient $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is contained in a compact orbifold. The orbifold locus (the image of the branching loci for the local manifold covers) locally has finitely many components. By compactness the total number of orbifold components is finite. In particular there are only finitely many orbifold components in $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and thus only finitely many distinct sets $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ modulo the action of $\Gamma_{g,n}$.

3 Equivariant deformation retractions of Teichmüller spaces

We present the proof of Theorem 1.2. Considerations begin with the systole function Λ on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, the length of the shortest closed geodesic. We introduce an approximate gradient V to the systole. The vector field V should define an equivariant deformation retraction of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ onto the truncated Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\epsilon)$. To this purpose V is required to be continuous, $\Gamma_{g,n}$ -invariant and to have support contained in the complement of a set with compact quotient. The systole is the minimum of lengths $\Lambda = \min_{\sigma} \ell_S(\sigma)$. At a point where multiple lengths $\ell_S(\hat{\sigma})$ have the value Λ , the V -derivatives $V\ell_S(\hat{\sigma})$ must agree for each length $\ell_S(\hat{\sigma}) = \Lambda$. This compatibility condition is necessary for Λ to have a continuous V -derivative. To understand the flow we add the inward pointing condition $V\Lambda \geq 0$ and require $V\Lambda = 1$ on the complement of a set with compact quotient.

We begin with gradients of small lengths. The gradients of disjoint simple geodesics are always linearly independent [Wo4]. Precise Weil-Petersson gradient information is provided by the expansion

$$\langle \text{grad } \ell_S(\sigma)^{1/2}, \text{grad } \ell_S(\sigma')^{1/2} \rangle = \frac{\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2\pi} + O((\ell_S(\sigma)\ell_S(\sigma'))^{3/2}) \quad (3.1)$$

for σ, σ' simple and either coinciding or disjoint; $\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}$ the Kronecker delta and the O -term constant depending only on an overall bound for the lengths [Wo5, Lemma 3.12]. Disjoint simple root-length gradients $(2\pi)^{1/2} \text{grad } \ell_S(\sigma)^{1/2}$ are almost orthonormal. The approach is to define for a set of geodesics \mathcal{S} a vector field

$$V = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} \kappa_{\sigma} \text{grad } \ell_S(\sigma)$$

with functions κ_{σ} determined by the system of equations

$$V\ell_S(\sigma') = 1 \quad \text{for } \sigma' \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (3.2)$$

The lengths $\ell_S(\sigma')$, $\sigma' \in \mathcal{S}$ increase at unit speed with respect to the V flow.

In [Har, §3, p. 172-173] the set \mathcal{S} was taken as the totality of geodesics $\{\ell_S(\sigma) \leq 3\epsilon\}$ for a suitable ϵ . It was claimed that each resulting function κ_{σ} vanishes for $\ell_S(\sigma) > 2\epsilon$. This is not true and consequently the sum over lengths $\{\ell_S(\sigma) \leq 3\epsilon\}$ defines a vector field that is not continuous at a value $\ell_S(\sigma_0) = 3\epsilon$ (for multiple small lengths a locus $\{\ell_S(\sigma_0) = 3\epsilon\}$ intersects any sublevel set $\{\Lambda < \delta\}$). The resulting flow is not continuous.

We now modify the approach to first locally define vector fields V . The essential step is the definition of open sets U with sets of geodesics \mathcal{S} providing nonsingular systems (3.2). The open sets will satisfy a $\Gamma_{g,n}$ translation property and a condition on small lengths. We work with the sublevel set $\{\Lambda < 3\epsilon\} \subset \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$. Each point of the sublevel set has a system of neighborhoods satisfying two conditions. The first condition is that an element of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ either stabilizes a neighborhood or translates the neighborhood to a disjoint set. The existence of such systems of neighborhoods is

a property of a discrete group action. The second condition is that if $\ell_S(\sigma) = \Lambda$ somewhere on a neighborhood, then $\ell_S(\sigma)$ is bounded by 4ϵ on the neighborhood. The existence of such systems of neighborhoods follows from compactness of $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}$ or from general bounds for gradients of lengths [Wo5]. We write \mathcal{U} for a neighborhood satisfying the two conditions. Define $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$ to be the set of geodesics σ with $\ell_S(\sigma) = \Lambda$ somewhere on \mathcal{U} . For ϵ sufficiently small, geodesics of length at most 4ϵ are disjoint and the leading term of expansion (3.1) dominates. For ϵ sufficiently small, the equation (3.2) is nonsingular for each neighborhood. We now write $V_{\mathcal{U}}$ for the solution of (3.2) for a neighborhood \mathcal{U} . We note that the sets $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$ and vector fields $V_{\mathcal{U}}$ are canonically (without choices) determined by the set \mathcal{U} . If an element of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ stabilizes \mathcal{U} then $V_{\mathcal{U}}$ is invariant with respect to the element.

We are ready to define a suitable open cover and a partition of unity. For each neighborhood \mathcal{U} introduce a smooth function $\psi_{\mathcal{U}}$, with $\text{supp}(\psi_{\mathcal{U}})$ relatively compact in \mathcal{U} and the supports also forming neighborhood systems at points. The functions $\psi_{\mathcal{U}}$ are chosen to be invariant under the stabilizers $\text{stab}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \Gamma_{g,n}$. Now select a set of pairs $\{(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}, \psi_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}})\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ such that the supports $\{\text{supp}(\psi_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ provide a locally finite cover of the locally compact set $\Gamma_{g,n} \backslash \{\Lambda \leq 3\epsilon\}$. Since as above an element of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ either stabilizes a pair or translates a pair to a second pair with disjoint support, the set of pairs $\{(\text{supp}(\psi_{\alpha}) \circ \gamma^{-1}, \psi_{\alpha} \circ \gamma)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \gamma \in \Gamma_{g,n}}$ provides a locally finite cover of $\{\Lambda \leq 3\epsilon\}$ and is *invariant* in that an element of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ either stabilizes a pair or translates a pair to second pair in the set with disjoint support. An overall consequence is that the function $\Psi = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \gamma \in \Gamma_{g,n}} \psi_{\alpha} \circ \gamma$ is $\Gamma_{g,n}$ -invariant and positive. In particular the functions $\{\psi_{\alpha} \circ \gamma / \Psi\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \gamma \in \Gamma_{g,n}}$ provide a $\Gamma_{g,n}$ -invariant partition of unity of $\{\Lambda \leq 3\epsilon\}$ (the partition support contains the sublevel set). The set of triples $\{(\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}), V_{\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha} \circ \gamma / \Psi)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \gamma \in \Gamma_{g,n}}$ is also invariant; an element of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ either stabilizes a triple or translates a triple to a second triple in the set with disjoint support.

Choose a non negative function $\phi(\ell)$ that is unity for $\ell \leq 2\epsilon$ and vanishes for $\ell \geq 3\epsilon$. The smooth vector field

$$V = \phi(\Lambda) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \gamma \in \Gamma_{g,n}} (\gamma_*) (\psi_{\alpha} V_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}})$$

satisfies $V\Lambda = 1$ on $\{\Lambda \leq 2\epsilon\}$, vanishes on $\{\Lambda \geq 3\epsilon\}$ and is $\Gamma_{g,n}$ -invariant. The time ϵ flow defines a smooth equivariant deformation retraction of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ to the truncated Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\epsilon)$.

Remark 3.1 An alternate approach for a deformation is as follows. The augmented Teichmüller space is the Weil-Petersson completion and a CAT(0) metric space [Wo1] [DaW] [Ya]. The components of the bordification of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}$ are totally geodesic embeddings of products of lower dimensional Teichmüller spaces. For a component boundary space \mathcal{T}' , determined by the free homotopy class σ' represented by a node, there is a projection of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{g,n}$ to \mathcal{T}' (the fibers of the projection are the geodesics realizing the distance to \mathcal{T}'). The geodesics define a fibration of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ with base space $\hat{\mathcal{T}}'$. A natural deformation from $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ to the submanifold $\{x \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \mid \ell \geq \epsilon\}$ is along fibers. For multiple small lengths, fibrations might be combined to define a deformation with small lengths increasing in a controlled manner. In [Wo5, §4.2] it is shown that the fibers of the projections to boundary spaces are approximated to high order by integral curves of constant sums of gradients $\text{grad } \ell(\sigma)^{1/2}$ for small lengths. The descriptions by constant sums of root-length gradients and by fibers of projections essentially describe the same structure. From (3.2) the root-length gradient flow is essentially a reparameterization of the flow of V .

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is contractible, Theorem 1.2 provides that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\epsilon)$ is also contractible. By the proof of Proposition 2.3, $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ is contractible; the equivariance of the deformation

retraction in Theorem 1.2 provides that $(\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon))^H$ is a deformation retract of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ and hence contractible.

By Proposition 2.3 again, $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}^H$ is non empty. The above equivariant deformation retraction provides that $(\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon))^H$ is non empty. Combined with Proposition 1.1, this provides that $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\varepsilon)$ is a cofinite universal space for proper actions of $\Gamma_{g,n}$.

References

- [Ab] W.Abikoff, *The real analytic theory of Teichmüller space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 820. Springer, 1980. vii+144 pp.
- [Ba] W.Baily, *On the moduli of Jacobian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) 71 (1960), 303–314.
- [BCH] P.Baum, A.Connes, N.Higson, *Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group C*-algebras*, in *C*-algebras: 1943–1993*, pp. 240–291, Contemp. Math., 167, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [Be] L.Bers, *Spaces of degenerating Riemann surfaces*, in *Discontinuous groups and Riemann surfaces (Proc. Conf., Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., 1973)*, pp. 43–55. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 79, Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.
- [BS] A.Borel, J.P.Serre, *Corners and arithmetic groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 48 (1973) 436–491.
- [Bri] M.Bridson, *Finiteness properties for subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$* , Math. Ann. 317 (2000) 629–633.
- [Brou1] S.Broughton, *The equisymmetric stratification of the moduli space and the Krull dimension of mapping class groups*, Topology Appl. 37 (1990) 101–113.
- [Brou2] S.Broughton, *Normalizers and centralizers of elementary abelian subgroups of the mapping class group*, in *Topology '90 (Columbus, OH, 1990)*, pp. 77–89, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [Brow1] K.Brown, *Finiteness properties of groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 44 (1987), 45–75.
- [Brow2] K.Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 87. Springer-Verlag, 1982. x+306 pp.
- [BHM] M.Bökstedt, W.Hsiang, I.Madsen, *The cyclotomic trace and algebraic K-theory of spaces*, Invent. Math. 111 (1993), 465–539.
- [Bu] P.Buser, *Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces*, Birkhäuser, 1992.
- [DaW] G.Daskalopoulos, R.Wentworth, *Classification of Weil-Petersson isometries*, 125 (2003), 941–975,
- [DM] P.Deligne, D.Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 36 (1969), 75–109.
- [FaM] B.Farb, D.Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, preprint, 2005, 2008.

- [Ga] F.Gardiner, *Teichmüller theory and quadratic differentials*, Wiley, New York, 1987.
- [Gr] D.Grayson, *Reduction theory using semistability. II*, Comment. Math. Helv. 61 (1986), no. 4, 661–676.
- [Ham] U.Hamenstädt, *Geometry of the mapping class groups I: Boundary amenability*, arXiv:math.GR/0510116, revised version, March 2008.
- [Har] J.Harer, *The cohomology of the moduli space of curves*, in *Theory of moduli*, pp. 138–221, Lecture Notes in Math., 1337, Springer, 1988.
- [Hv1] W.Harvey, *Boundary structure of the modular group*, in *Riemann surfaces and related topics*, pp. 245–251, Ann. of Math. Stud., 97, Princeton Univ. Press, 1981.
- [Hv2] W.Harvey, *Spaces of discrete groups*, in *Discrete groups and automorphic functions (Proc. Conf., Cambridge, 1975)*, pp. 295–348, Academic Press, 1977.
- [Il] S.Illman, *Existence and uniqueness of equivariant triangulations of smooth proper G -manifolds with some applications to equivariant Whitehead torsion*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 524 (2000), 129–183.
- [Iv1] N.Ivanov, *Mapping class groups*, in *Handbook of geometric topology*, pp. 523–633, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [Iv2] N.Ivanov, *Complexes of curves and Teichmüller spaces*, Math. Notes 49 (1991), no. 5–6, 479–484.
- [Iv3] N.Ivanov, *Attaching corners to Teichmüller space*, Leningrad Math. J. 1 (1990), no. 5, 1177–1205.
- [Ji] L.Ji, *Integral Novikov conjectures and arithmetic groups containing torsion elements*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 15 (2007), no. 3, 509–533.
- [Ke] S.Kerckhoff, *The Nielsen realization problem*, Ann. of Math. 117 (1983), 235–265.
- [Ki] Y.Kida, *The mapping class group from the viewpoint of measure equivalence theory*, arXiv:math/0512230.
- [Le1] E.Leuzinger, *An exhaustion of locally symmetric spaces by compact submanifolds with corners*, Invent. Math. 121 (1995), no. 2, 389–410.
- [Le2] E.Leuzinger, *On polyhedral retracts and compactifications of locally symmetric spaces*, Differential Geom. Appl. 20 (2004), no. 3, 293–318.
- [Lo] S.Lojasiewicz, *Triangulation of semi-analytic sets*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 18 (1964), 449–474.
- [Lu] W.Lück, *Survey on classifying spaces for families of subgroups*, in *Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects*, pp. 269–322, Progr. Math., 248, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.

- [Mi] G.Mislin, *Mapping class groups*, preprint, lecture notes of a talk in Münster, 2004.
- [Sa] L.Saper, *Tilings and finite energy retractions of locally symmetric spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. 72 (1997), no. 2, 167–202.
- [Se] J.P.Serre, *Arithmetic groups*, in *Homological group theory*, pp. 105–136, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1979.
- [St] P.Storm, *The Novikov conjecture for mapping class groups as a corollary of Hamenstädt's theorem*, arXiv:math/0504248v1.
- [Wo1] S.Wolpert, *Geometry of the Weil-Petersson completion of Teichmüller space*, in *Surveys in differential geometry*, Vol. VIII, pp. 357–393, Surv. Differ. Geom., VIII, Int. Press, 2003.
- [Wo2] S.Wolpert, *Geodesic length functions and the Nielsen problem*, J. Differential Geom. 25 (1987), no. 2, 275–296.
- [Wo3] S.Wolpert, *Convexity of geodesic-length functions: a reprise*, in *Spaces of Kleinian groups*, pp. 233–245, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 329, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.
- [Wo4] S.Wolpert, *The Fenchel-Nielsen deformation*, Ann. of Math. (2), 115 (1982), 501–528.
- [Wo5] S.Wolpert, *Behavior of geodesic-length functions on Teichmüller space*, J. Differential Geom., 79 (2008), 277–334.
- [Ya] S.Yamada, *On the geometry of Weil-Petersson completion of Teichmüller spaces*, Math. Res. Lett., 11 (2004), 327–344.